For the Northern District of California

1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
9			
10	I-ENTERPRISE CO.,	No. C-03-01561 MMC (EDL)	
11	Plaintiff,	ORDER ON: (A) DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO COMPEL (1) FURTHER	
12	v.	DEPOSITION TESTIMONY FROM STEPHEN ROY CONCERNING CLAIMS	
13	DRAPER FISHER JURVETSON MANAGEMENT CO., et al.,	ADDED BY I-ENTERPRISE COMPANY LLC's THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT	
14 15	Defendants.	("TAC"), (2) FURTHER DEPOSITION TESTIMONY OF IEC EXPERT THOMAS	
16		L. PORTER, PH.D. ON DOCUMENTS NOT TIMELY PRODUCED BY IEC'S	
17		COUNSEL, (3) RESPONSES TO DEFENDANTS' REQUESTS FOR	
18		ADMISSION, INTERROGATORIES AND DOCUMENT REQUESTS ON CLAIMS	
19		ADDED BY THE TAC, AND (4) SUPPLEMENTAL DOCUMENT	
20		PRODUCTION ON DEFENDANTS' REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION ON	
21		CLAIMS ADDED BY IEC'S TAC; AND (B) IEC'S MOTION TO REOPEN THE	
22		DEPOSITION OF PAUL GOMPERS	
23			
24	Presently before the Court are: (A) the	e motion of Defendants, Draper Fisher Jurvetson Management	
25	Company V, LLC ("Fund V LLC"), Draper Fisher Jurvetson Management Co. VI, LLC ("Fund VI LLC")		
26	Timothy C. Draper, John H. N. Fisher and Stephen T. Jurvetson (collectively, "Defendants"), for an order		
27	compelling: (1) further deposition testimony from Stephen Roy concerning claims added by I-Enterprise		
28	Company LLC ("IEC") in its Third Amended Complaint ("TAC") and the note passed to him by his couns		
	during the deposition, for production of the n	ote shown to him by IEC's counsel and ordering that IEC's	

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

counsel not engage in speaking objections during any further deposition of Mr. Roy; (2) further deposition testimony from IEC's testifying expert Thomas L. Porter, Ph.D. concerning the contents of Dr. Porter's documents not produced by IEC until after his deposition and any related conversations with IEC's in-house and outside counsel concerning same; (3) responses to Draper Fisher Jurvetson Management Co. VI, LLC's Third Request For Production Of Documents To I-Enterprise Company LLC; (4) responses to Draper Fisher Jurvetson Management Company V, LLC's Fourth Set Of Interrogatories To I-Enterprise Company LLC; (5) responses to First Requests For Admission of Draper Fisher Jurvetson Management Company V, LLC To I-Enterprise Company LLC; (6) responses to First Requests for Admission of Draper Fisher Jurvetson Management Co. VI, LLC To I-Enterprise Company LLC; (7) responses to First Requests For Admission By Timothy C. Draper To I-Enterprise Company LLC; (8) responses to First Requests For Admission By John H. N. Fisher To I-Enterprise Company LLC; and (9) responses to First Requests For Admission By Stephen T. Jurvetson To I-Enterprise Company LLC; and (B) IEC's motion to reopen the deposition of nonparty Paul Gompers ("Prof. Gompers").

Having considered the parties' respective moving papers, opposition papers, the declarations and responses submitted by Prof. Gompers, the evidence and arguments presented at oral argument and the case record, the Court finds that each of Defendants' and IEC's motions are HEREBY GRANTED IN PART AND DENIED IN PART.

With respect to Defendants' motion, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- (1) IEC's counsel, Elliot Peters, shall submit by November 15, 2005 a declaration attaching for the Court's in camera review the note he showed to Mr. Stephen Roy during Mr. Roy's September 22, 2005 deposition. If that note has been discarded, Mr. Peters shall provide the details of his search for the note in a publicly-filed declaration and shall also provide a separately lodged declaration describing the text of the note for the Court's in camera review. The Court defers its ruling on Defendants' motion to compel further testimony from Mr. Roy until after the Court has completed its *in camera* review of the note and/or Mr. Peters' declarations;
 - With respect to Defendants' motion for further deposition testimony from IEC's testifying (2)

27

28

1	expert Thomas L. Porter, Ph.D., the Court denies Defendants' motion as moot;		
2	(3) IEC shall produce to Defendants' counsel by November 18, 2005 all monthly, quarterly an		
3			
4	annual reports prepared by I-Group Hotbank, as called for in Request No. 84 of Draper Fisher Jurvetson		
5	Management Co. VI, LLC's Third Request For Production Of Documents To I-Enterprise Company LLC		
6	and		
7	(4) IEC shall serve by November 18, 2005	revised responses to Request No. 10 of the First	
8	Requests for Admission of Draper Fisher Jurvetson Management Co. VI, LLC To I-Enterprise Company		
9	LLC, and Request No. 3 of First Requests For Admission By Timothy C. Draper To I-Enterprise Company		
10	LLC.		
11	With respect to IEC's motion to reopen the deposition of Prof. Gompers, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED		
12	that:		
13	(1) Defendants shall produce Prof. Gompers	for up to two additional hours of testimony. IEC's	
14	further denocition shall be limited to questions concerning the effering memorande referenced in Prof. Compare?		
15	expert report, including their contents, his conclusions based on their contents, and how those offering		
16	mamaranda and resulting contents affected his aninions in this cases and		
17	(2) Prof. Gompers' further deposition shall be	be held at a place and time mutually agreed upon by	
18	counsel for IEC and Defendants.		
19	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
20	Dated: November 16, 2005	Elizabor D. Laporte	
21		ELIZABETH D. LAPORTE	
22		United States Magistrate Judge	
23			
24			
25			
26			